Designing Underground Storm Water Management Systems For Water Quality

Justin Reinhart, PE Ohio EPA Storm Water Technical Assistance





image credit: Oldcastle Infrastructure



Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

image credit: Brentwood Industries, Inc.

Table 6

Impact of separately reducing the contributions of individual removal processes on the ranked position of BMPs with respect to TSS removal

BMP	Default ranked order of preference	Highest and lowest ranked positions
Infiltration basin	1	1–2
Constructed wetland	2.5	2-3.5
(SSF)		
Porous paving	2.5	1-6 ^a
Soakaways	4.5	4.5–9.5 ^a
Infiltration trench	4.5	4.5–9.5 ^a
Constructed wetland	6.5	6-8
(SF)		
Extended detention	6.5	2-11 ^a
basin		
Filter drain	8	6.5-10
Retention ponds	9	3.5–13 ^a
Detention basins	11	5-12 ^a
Porous asphalt	11	6.5–15 ^a
Swales	11	9-13
Lagoons	13	9.5-14
Filter strip	14	10-14
Sedimentation tank	15	12-15

^aBMPs which show an overall change in ranked position of ≥ 5 places.

Scholes, L. Et. Al. 2008. A Systematic Approach for the Comparative Assessment of Stormwater Pollutant Removal Potentials. J. Environ. Mgmt.



<u>Median TSS</u> In: 41.2 mg/L Out: 7.1 mg/L Removal: 82.7%

Stormwater BMP Database 2012 MTD Performance Study



Underground Storm Water Management System



Extended Detention Storage or Infiltration Bed









Underground Storm Water Management System









Water Quality Flow

$WQf = C \times i \times A$

Where:

A

WQf = water quality flow rate (cfs)

- = runoff coefficient for use with rational method for estimating peak discharge
 - = rainfall intensity (in/hr)
- = drainage area (ac)

Appendix CIntensity for Calculation of Water Quality Flow (WQF)

5 2.37 33 0.95 6 2.26 34 0.93 7 2.15 35 0.92 8 2.04 36 0.90 9 1.94 37 0.88 10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	DURATION t _c (minutes)	WATER QUALITY INTENSITY [iwq] (inches/hour)	DURATION tc (minutes)	WATER QUALITY INTENSITY [iwq] (inches/hour)
6 2.26 34 0.93 7 2.15 35 0.92 8 2.04 36 0.90 9 1.94 37 0.88 10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	5	2.37	33	0.95
7 2.15 35 0.92 8 2.04 36 0.90 9 1.94 37 0.88 10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	6	2.26	34	0.93
8 2.04 36 0.90 9 1.94 37 0.88 10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	7	2.15	35	0.92
9 1.94 37 0.88 10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	8	2.04	36	0.90
10 1.85 38 0.86 11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	9	1.94	37	0.88
11 1.76 39 0.85 12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	10	1.85	38	0.86
12 1.68 40 0.83 13 1.62 41 0.82	11	1.76	39	0.85
13 1.62 41 0.82	12	1.68	40	0.83
	13	1.62	41	0.82



Page 62 of 6

Ohio EPA Permit No.: OHC00000



Note: The outlet pipe to the Runoff Treatment BMP may require an orifice plate installed on the outlet to control the water quality design flow rate water surface elevation (weir height). The water quality design flow rate water surface elevation should be set to provide a minimum headwater/diameter ratio of 2.0 on the outlet pipe.

NOT TO SCALE



State of Washington

9

Flow Splitter, Option A Revised May 2017

Please see http://www.ecy.wa.gov/copyright.html for copyright notice including permissions, limitation of liability, and disclaimer.



Water Quality Volume

(fa at)	(acro fact)
(reet)	(acre-reet)
0.00	0.000
0.10	0.001
0.20	0.003
0.30	0.004
0.40	0.005
0.50	0.007
0.60	0.009
0.70	0.012
0.80	0.015
0.90	0.018
1.00	0.020
1.10	0.023
1.20	0.026
1.30	0.028
1.40	0.031
1.50	0.034
1.60	0.036
1.70	0.039
1.80	0.042
1.90	0.044
2.00	0.047
2.10	0.049
2.20	0.051
2.30	0.054
2.40	0.056
2.50	0.058
2.60	0.060
2.70	0.062
2.80	0.064
2.90	0.066
3.00	0.067
3.10	0.068
3.20	0.070
3.30	0.071
3.40	0.072
3.50	0.073

Elevation

Storage

({	Pond 1P: (new Pond) - OSWC USWMS ORIFICE SIZE						
	Summary	Wizards Hyd	drograph Dis	charge S <u>t</u>	orage Sizing		
	Time	Inflow	Storage	Elevation	Primary		
	(hours)	(cfs)	(acre-feet)	(feet)	(cfs)		
	0.00	0.00	0.071	3.30	0.05		
	1.00	0.00	0.007	3.01	0.05		
	2.00	0.00	0.063	2.77	0.04		
	3.00	0.00	0.060	2.58	0.04		
	4.00	0.00	0.056	2.42	0.04		
	5.00	0.00	0.053	2.27	0.04		
	6.00	0.00	0.050	2.14	0.04		
	7.00	0.00	0.047	2.01	0.04		
	8.00	0.00	0.044	1.89	0.04		
	5.00	0.00	0.041	1.77	0.03		
	10.00	0.00	0.038	1.67	0.03		
	11.00	0.00	0.035	1.56	0.03		
	12.00	0.00	0.033	1.46	0.03		
	13.00	0.00	0.030	1.37	0.03		
	14.00	0.00	0.028	1.28	0.03		
	15.00	0.00	0.025	1.19	0.03		
	16.00	0.00	0.023	1.10	0.03		
	17.00	0.00	0.021	1.02	0.03		
	18.00	0.00	0.019	0.95	0.02		
	19.00	0.00	0.017	0.87	0.02		
	20.00	0.00	0.015	0.80	0.02		
	21.00	0.00	0.013	0.73	0.02		
	22.00	0.00	0.011	0.67	0.02		
	23.00	0.00	0.010	0.61	0.02		
	24.00	0.00	0.008	0.55	0.02		
	26.00	0.00	0.000	0.00	0.02		
	27.00	0.00	0.005	0.39	0.02		
	21.00	0.00	0.004	0.50	0.01		











• WQf diversion







- WQf diversion
- Pretreatment unit





- WQf diversion
- Pretreatment unit
- WQv storage





- WQf diversion
- Pretreatment unit
- WQv storage
- WQv drawdown control (orifice)







Peak Discharge or Flood Control Detention **Extended Detention** Storage or Infiltration Bed





Peak Discharge or Flood Control Detention **Extended Detention** Storage or Infiltration Bed

Construction Runoff

Sediment Controls



Pretreatment

- 1. Manufactured Treatment Device (MTD)
 - NJDEP or TAPE certified hydrodynamic separator





Pretreatment

- Manufactured Treatment Device (MTD)
 - NJDEP or TAPE certified hydrodynamic separator
 - NJDEP or TAPE certified media filter





AquaShield Aqua-Filter Stormwater Filtration



Manufactured Treatment Device

Guidance on certified practices

- Navigating NJDEP & Washington TAPE Certifications
- ✓ 50% and 80% requirements
 ✓ Maximum Treatment Flow Rate



Division of Surface Water October 2018

NPDES Construction General Permit #OHC000005

Guidance on Manufactured Treatment Devices as Pretreatment for Underground Storm Water Management Systems

NPDES Construction General Permit #OHCOOOO5 (CGP) lists an underground storm water management system (USWMS) in both of the following configurations as a standard post-construction best management practice (BMP) approved for general use:

- a USWMS providing extended detention coupled with a pretreatment practice 50 percent effective at capturing total suspended solids (TSS); and
- a USWMS providing infiltration coupled with a pretreatment practice 80 percent effective at capturing TSS.

The pretreatment TSS removal efficacy of either 50 or 80 percent must be verified through laboratory or field testing as detailed in the Alternative Post-Construction BMP Testing Protocol section of the CGP. Ohio EPA anticipates that a manufactured treatment device (MTD) will often be proposed as pretreatment for a USWMS and that designers, as well as regulated communities, will rely on the MTD certification programs referenced in the CGP to verify compliance with the CGP.

www.epa.ohio.gov/dsw/storm/index



Pretreatment

- 2. Subsurface Geotextile Filter System
 – Provisional practice
 - standard (pretreatment)



Figure 1: Schematic plan view of a subsurface media filter pretreatment system with a USWMS providing extended detention (after Maine DEP, 2016)



Geotextile Filter Systems

- ✓ Required hydraulic capacity is the WQf
- Size geotextile with hydraulic loading rate provide in *Rainwater* (1.0 gpm/sf)
- ✓ Specifications for woven fabric provided
- ✓ Surface access for maintenance





Geotextile Filter Systems

WQf calculated as 1.5 cfs (673 gpm) Design hydraulic loading rate = 1.0 gpm/sf

$$\frac{673 gpm}{1.0 \frac{gpm}{SF}} = 673 SF$$



$$\frac{673 \, gpm}{70^{SF}/_{chamber}} = 9.6 \, , use \, 10 \, chambers$$

























Linear?





Linear?









Linear Pretreatment



Ohio Environmental Protection Agency

Provisional Practice Standard

Criteria covered:

- Pretreatment
- Placement into service
- Outlet
- Storage volume
- Drain time
- Configuration
- Resuspension
- Excavation lining
- Access



Thank You

Justin Reinhart 614-705-1149 Justin.Reinhart@epa.ohio.gov

